# ASSESSMENT AND PROVISION OF PEDESTRIAN CROSSING FACILITIES

#### **PURPOSE OF POLICY**

To ensure that pedestrian crossings are provided on the basis of impartially assessed need

#### **POLICY**

1. When a request for a pedestrian crossing facility is received, an initial assessment will be undertaken to determine if the site meets the agreed pre-qualification criteria, as follows:

# **Pre-qualification criteria**

- Where a pedestrian casualty has been recorded that site will be deemed to have met the criteria and will go on to be fully assessed.
- Where there is no pedestrian casualty record, a sample one hour count of pedestrians and vehicles will be undertaken during the busiest time and only sites with a sample PV<sup>2</sup> value of greater than 0.2 x 10<sup>8</sup> will be put forward for full assessment.
- 2. All sites meeting the pre-qualification criteria set out in (1) will be assessed in detail and prioritised using an approved assessment procedure that takes into account factors such as pedestrian casualties, speed limits, severance, access to schools and existing conditions (See Overleaf).
- 3. The type of facility constructed will be determined by site assessment bearing in mind the site characteristics including casualty history, vehicle speeds and difficulty of crossing.

## NOTE ON PV2

PV<sup>2</sup> gives an impartial measure of the need for a pedestrian facility at any site by determining the number of vehicles and pedestrians using the area; it is nationally accepted and has been tried and tested over many years. Using a pre-qualification criteria ensures that detailed assessment is only undertaken for those sites with a proven need and reduces the impact on limited resources.

### **CROSSING TYPES**

Traffic light controlled crossings can cost up to 5 times the cost of a zebra or a central island and, therefore, will only be provided where there is a clear identified need.

# PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS ASSESSMENT SCORING

| Factor | Options   | Score |
|--------|---|-------|
| 1      | Improvements for Mobility Impaired  |       |
|        | Score 2 for crossings specifically requested to improve conditions for        |       |
|        | mobility impaired   |       |
| 2      | Safer Routes to School  |       |
|        | Score 3 for sites specifically identified in a School Travel Plan             |       |
| 3      | Access to Public Transport  |       |
| 3      | Score 2 for sites which will improve access to public transport               |       |
| 4      | Reduction of Severance  |       |
|        | Score 2 for sites which reduce severance (e.g. to serve sole local store /    |       |
|        | shopping area or where a residential area is severed by a heavily             |       |
|        | trafficked A or B class road  |       |
|        |   |       |
| 5      | Pedestrian Casualties   |       |
|        | Score 3 for each pedestrian fatality  |       |
|        | Score 2 for each serious pedestrian casualty                                  |       |
|        | Score 1 for each slight pedestrian casualty                                   |       |
| 6      | Child Pedestrian Casualties   |       |
|        | Score 3 for each child pedestrian fatality                                    |       |
|        | Score 2 for each child serious pedestrian casualty                            |       |
|        | Score 1 for each child slight pedestrian casualty                             |       |
| 7      | Road Width  |       |
|        | Score 2 for roads over 9m   |       |
|        | Score 1 for roads between 7 and 9m  |       |
| 8      | Speed Limit   |       |
|        | Score 3 for roads subject to National Speed Limit                             |       |
|        | Score 2 for roads subject to 50mph limit                                      |       |
|        | Score 1 for roads subject to 40mph limit                                      |       |
| 9      | Existing Pedestrian Facilities  |       |
|        | Score -3 for sites with an existing bridge or subway                          |       |
|        | Score -2 for sites with existing triaffic signals with no pedestrian facility |       |
|        | Score -1 for sites with an existing traffic island                            |       |
|        |   |       |
| 10     | Footpaths and Cycle Routes  |       |
|        | Score 1 for sites which serve an existing designated cycling or walking       |       |
|        | route such as the National Cycle Network, bridle path or footpath.            |       |
| 11     | Street Lighting   |       |
|        | Score 1 for sites with no street lighting                                     |       |
|        | Score 0.5 for sites with existing but sub-standard street lighting            |       |
| 12     | Walkability   |       |
|        | Score 1 for sites that will clearly improve the 'walkability' of an area,     |       |
|        | thereby resulting in additional pedestrian movements                          |       |
| 13     | Links to South Downs  |       |
|        | Score 1 for sites that create a new link to the South Downs National Park     |       |
| 14     | Average PV squared value (busiest four hours)                                 |       |
|        | Score equals average PV squared x 10 (e.g. PV2 of 0.25 becomes score          |       |
|        | of 2.5)   |       |
|        | Overall Score   |       |
|        | Overall Joure   |       |